

1. Rowe, et al. "Catheter Securement Impact on PICC-related CLABSI: A University Hospital Perspective" American Journal of Infection Control, Vol. 48, Dec 2020
2. Brescia, et al. "Subcutaneously anchored securement for peripherally inserted central catheters: Immediate, early, and late complications." Journal of Vascular Access (2021) June
3. McParlan et al. "Intravascular catheter migration: A cross-sectional and health-economic comparison of adhesive and subcutaneous engineered stabilisation devices for intravascular device securement." Journal of Vascular Access (2020) Jan;21(1):33-38.
4. Pittiruti, et al. "Clinical experience of a subcutaneously anchored sutureless system for securing central venous catheters." British Journal of Nursing (2019) Jan 24;28(2):S4-14.
5. Zerla et al. "Evaluating Safety, Efficacy, and Cost-Effectiveness of PICC Securement by Subcutaneously Anchored Stabilization Device." Journal of Vascular Access 18.3 (2017):238-242.
6. Dolcino et al. "Potential Role of a Subcutaneously Anchored Securement Device in Preventing Dislodgement of Tunneled-Cuffed Central Venous Devices in Pediatric Patients." Journal of Vascular Access 18.6 (2017):540-545.
7. Hughes, Meirir Elen. "Reducing PICC migrations and improving patient outcomes." British Journal of Nursing 23:Sup1, (2014): S12-S18.
8. Paquet, F. et al. "Impact of arm selection on the incidence of PICC complications: results of a randomized controlled trial," JVA (2017) 18(5),408-414.
9. Gibson, C. et al. "Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters: Use at a Tertiary Care pediatric Center," JVIR (2013) 24, 1323-133.
10. Le Royer, C. et al. "Prospective follow-up of complications related to peripherally inserted central catheters", Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses (2013) 43, 350-355.
11. Yamamoto, Alvin J., et al. "Sutureless securement device reduces complications of peripherally inserted central venous catheters." Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology 13.1 (2002): 77-81.
12. Cardella et al., Cumulative experience with 1,273 peripherally inserted central catheters at a single institution. JVIR 1996; 7:5-13.
13. Abebe, A., Catheter-Related Bloodstream Infection Review. Hosp Med Clin, Jan. 2014, (3) e32-e49.
14. Jagger, J., Direct Cost of Follow-up for Percutaneous and Mucocutaneous Exposures to At-Risk Body Fluids: Data from Two Hospitals. Adv Expos Prev, 1998. 3(3):25-34.
15. Lee, W.C., Short-term Economic Impact Associated with Occupational Needlestick Injuries Among Acute Care Nurses. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2005. 21(12): 1915-1922.
16. O'Malley, E.M., Cost of Management of Occupational Exposures to Blood and Body Fluids. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2007. 28(7):774-782.
17. CDC. Workbook for Designing, Implementing and Evaluating a Sharps Injury Prevention Program. (2008).
18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Stop Sticks Campaign www.cdc.gov/niosh/stopsticks

ORDERING INFORMATION

NO.	SIZE	QTY.
400130	3F	Box (10 each)
400140	4F	Box (10 each)
400110	5F	Box (10 each)
400150	6F	Box (10 each)
400120	7F	Box (10 each)
400160	8F	Box (10 each)
400180	10F	Box (10 each)
400200	12F	Box (10 each)

Additional SecurAcath® product information

- Not made with natural latex rubber
- MRI Conditional

More information available at www.securacath.com

Download the SecurAcath® app



All You Need is Just One...
for the life of the line



181 Cheshire Lane, Suite 100
Plymouth, MN 55441 USA
+1.763.225.6699
www.securacath.com

CE 0413

Please refer to instructions for use for indications, contraindications, hazards, warnings, cautions and directions for use.



Improved Securement for the Life of the Line



BECAUSE PATIENTS DESERVE BETTER®

Reduces Risk of CLABSI

- University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS) analyzed 7,779 patients over four years of Central Line Associated Bloodstream Infection (CLABSI) data¹
- Analysis compared outcomes of patients whose PICCs were secured with a the SecurAcath to those secured with an adhesive device
- Study found a substantial difference in relative risk among securement devices
- Adhesive device had a 288% increase in risk of CLABSI compared to SecurAcath

Dramatically Decreases Catheter Dislodgement

- Catheter dislodgement defined as accidental removal or movement that resulted in loss of function
- SecurAcath clinical data publications show very low dislodgement rates of 0-1.6%²⁻⁷
- Adhesive securement devices have published dislodgement rates of 7-12%⁸⁻¹¹
- Many accidental dislodgements occur during dressing changes when catheter is not secured
- Catheter replacement cost is approximately \$500 at bedside, \$1,000 in IR¹², \$1,200 in pediatrics; these are decreased with SecurAcath

Prevents Catheter Movement

- Catheter movement at the insertion site can introduce bacteria beneath the skin¹³
- Improved stability may promote healing at insertion site which acts as a natural barrier to infection
- May reduce phlebitis, thrombosis and infection

Improves Efficiency

- One SecurAcath secures for the life of the line
- Catheter remains secure during dressing changes
- Saves time during routine dressing changes
- Dressing change can be done three minutes faster
- Allows for easy catheter repositioning if catheter tip must be pulled back

Allows 360 Degree Site Cleaning While Secured



- Excellent cleaning access around the entire insertion site
- Catheter remains stable and secure during cleaning
- Improved stability and cleaning may help reduce infections

Eliminates Suture Needle Sticks

- Eliminates costly suture needle stick risk
- Average cost of needle stick injury is \$825¹⁴⁻¹⁷
- There are over 92,000 suture needle stick injuries to healthcare workers in the U.S. each year¹⁸



JUST ONE FOR THE LIFE OF THE LINE



DECREASES REPLACED LINES



REDUCES THE RISK OF CLABSI



LOWERS TOTAL COST OF PATIENT CARE



Scan or Visit to Learn How SecurAcath Improves Patient Care
www.securacath.com

